EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

Page 1 of 2

DOCKET NO.: 2007-0994-MWD-E **TCEQ ID:** RN103016374 **CASE NO.:** 33906

RESPONDENT NAME: City of Nederland

ORDER TYPE:		
X 1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING
FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	_SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
AMENDED ORDER	EMERGENCY ORDER	
CASE TYPE:		
AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION
X WATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION
Avenue D, east of the main drainage canal, N TYPE OF OPERATION: Wastewater treat SMALL BUSINESS: YesX OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: Therefacility location. INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other th COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Reg CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST: TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinated TCEQ Enforcement Coordinated Steve Lopez, Enforcement Division Respondent: Mr. Bert Rogers, Ma	There is no record of additional and the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interister comment period expired on October 29, 2007. Or: None Ms. Laurie Eaves, Enforcement Division, Enforce	al pending enforcement actions regarding this rest in this matter. No comments were received. ment Team I, MC 169, (512) 239-4495; Mr.

RESPONDENT NAME: City of Nederland **DOCKET NO.:** 2007-0994-MWD-E

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHAR	Γ:	
VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED DATE X
Type of Investigation: Complaint X Routine Enforcement Follow-up Records Review Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case: None Date of Investigations Relating to this Case: February 21 and 22, 2007 Date of NOE Relating to this Case: April 18, 2007 (NOE) Background Facts: On February 21, 2007, the operations company for the City of Nederland contacted the TCEQ to report	Total Assessed: \$13,100 Total Deferred: \$0 Expedited Settlement Financial Inability to Pay SEP Conditional Offset: \$0 Total Paid to General Revenue: \$13,100 Site Compliance History Classification HighX_AveragePoor Person Compliance History Classification HighX_AveragePoor Major Source: X_YesNo	Corrective Actions Taken: The Executive Director recognizes that the City returned to compliance by March 16, 2007 by disposing of the dead fish, installing an audible level alarm on the day tank, and establishing contingency procedures and retraining employees,
a permit excursion. The pH was measured at 10 standard units. On February 22, 2007, a fish kill was reported to the TCEQ. Investigations followed on February 21, 2007 and February 22, 2007. WATER	Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002 Findings Orders Justification: This is a Findings Order due to a fish kill of approximately 350 fish.	
Failure to prevent the unauthorized discharge of partially treated wastewater into or adjacent to water in the state [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(4), TPDES Permit No. 10483002, Permit Condition No. 2.d., and Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a)].		

Additional ID No(s).: WQ0010483002, TPDES0026476

Policy Revision 2 (Septe	Penalty Calculation	n Worksheet (PC)	•	on May 25, 2007
TCEQ DATES Assigned	23-Apr-2007			
	21-Jun-2007 Screening 22-Jun-2007	EPA Due	***************************************	
RESPONDENT/FACILITY	NFORMATION City of Nederland			
Reg. Ent. Ref. No. Facility/Site Region	RN103016374	Major/Minor Source Ma	ajor	
CASE INFORMATION				
Enf./Case ID No. Docket No.	2007-0994-MWD-E	No. of Violations 1 Order Type Fir		
Media Program(s) Multi-Media	Water Quality	Enf. Coordinator La	urie Eaves forcementTeam 1	
Admin. Penalty \$ L	imit Minimum \$0 Maximum	\$10,000		
	Penalty Calcula	tion Section		***************************************
TOTAL BASE PENAL	TY (Sum of violation base penaltie	s)	Subtotal 1	\$10,000
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-)	TO SUBTOTAL 1. ed by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by			
Subtotals 2-7 are obtain Compliance Histo			ls 2, 3, & 7	\$5,600
Notes	The Respondent self-reported ten months and was issued three NOVs for violations	of effluent quality violations sont considered same or		***************************************
	similar.			
Culpability	No 0%	Enhancement	Subtotal 4	\$0
Notes	The Respondent does not meet the	culpability criteria.		
Good Faith Effort	to Comply 25%	Reduction	Subtotal 5	\$2,500
	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer			
Extraordinary Ordinary	X			
N/A	(mark with x)			
	The Respondent returned to complianc	그는 이 선생님, 그는 것이라는 그 나는 사람이 얼마나 가는 그 가장이 가장하다니.		
Notes	disposing of the dead fish, installing an aud tank, establishing contingency procedures			
		Enhancement* I at the Total EB \$ Amount	Subtotal 6	\$0
Approx. (Cost of Compliance \$3,500	Tat the Fotal EB William		
SUM OF SUBTOTALS	s 1-7	Fin	al Subtotal	\$13,100
OTHER FACTORS AS	S JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE		Adjustment	\$0
Reduces or enhances the Final S	ubtotal by the indicated percentage. (Enter number onli	v; e.g30 for -30%.)		
Notes			. •	
		Final Pena	Ity Amount	\$13,100
STATUTORY LIMIT A	DJUSTMENT	Final Assess	sed Penalty	\$13,100
DEFERRAL		0% Reduction	Adjustment	\$0
Reduces the Final Assessed Pen	alty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.		. Fürdelicher einem mense	
Notes	No deferral is recommended for	Findings Orders,		
			CONTRACTOR COMPLY PROGRAMMENT POLITIC	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
PAYABLE PENALTY				\$13,100

Screening Date 22-Jun-2007

Docket No. 2007-0994-MWD-E

PCW

Respondent City of Nederland

Case ID No. 33906

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN103016374

Media [Statute] Water Quality Enf. Coordinator Laurie Eaves

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision May 25, 2007

Compliance History Worksheet

	Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2) Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	10	50%
	Other written NOVs	3	6%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denia of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission		0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0 11.	0%`′
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final cour judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federa government	t olites ore la sicio Telifo Orolleist 	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)		0%
, addito	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
	Ple	nse Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%

Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2)

56%

>> Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)

No

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3)

0%

>> Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)

Average Performer

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7)

0%

>> Compliance History Summary

Compliance History Notes

The Respondent self-reported ten months of effluent quality violations and was issued three NOVs for violations not considered same or similar.

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7)

56%

Screening Date		Docke	et No. 2007-0994-MWD-E	PCW
#####################################	City of Nederland		Policy	Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.				PCW Revision May 25, 2007
Reg. Ent. Reference No Media [Statute]				
Enf. Coordinator				W
Violation Number	January Community Communit			
Rule Cite(s	Tex. Water Code § 26	.121(a), Tex. Admin. Co 10483002, Permit Co	de § 305.125(4), and TPDES Permit No Indition No. 2.d.).
Violation Description	adjacent to water in the 2007. Specifically, on discharged from Cit system. The disc treatment facility w Jefferson County Di approximately 350 fish discharge monitoring	e state, as documented February 20, 2007, 1,20 y's surface water treatme charge caused a die-off of hich resulted in partially- rainage District No. 7 Mand the Additionally, a region-or report data revealed nor	e of partially treated wastewater into or by investigations on February 21 and 2 0 gallons of 50% sodium hydroxide werent facility to the wastewater collection of microorganisms in the wastewater treated wastewater discharging to the ain C Canal and resulted in a fish kill of documented grab sample and a review accompliance with permitted effluent limit and effluent violation chart).	e
			Base Penal	ty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Property	and Human Health	Matrix		
	Harn	n		
OR Release	r	ate Minor		**************************************
Potentia			Percent 100%	
Nothin				
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification	ı Major Moder	ate Minor		and a second
			Percent 0%	ana
Matrix Human heal	th or the environment ha	as been exposed to pollu	itants which exceed protective levels as	a
Notes		result of this violation		
			Adjustment	50
	•			\$10,000
•				
Violation Events				
Number of V	iolation Events 1		Number of violation days	
	daily			•
	monthly x			
mark only one with an x			Violation Base Pena	lty \$10,000
	semiannual annual			•
	single event			
		n e		
One mont		ed from the date of the ii of compliance on March	nvestigation on February 21, 2007 to th n 16, 2007.	
	1		Statuten Limit Teet	
Economic Benefit (EB) for t	nis violation		Statutory Limit Test	
Estimat	ed EB Amount	\$2,503	Violation Final Penalty To	tal \$13,100
Note that the same of the same		This violation Fina	l Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limi	ts) \$13,100

	E	conomic I	3enefit W	orks	heet		
Respondent	City of Nederlar	d				to an arrangement	
Case ID No.	•						
Reg. Ent. Reference No.						State of the state	
Θ	Water Quality						Years of
Violation No.	•				5	Percent Interest	Depreciation
violation no.	I					E 0	
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs_							
Equipment				0,0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings	1000			0,0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0 80
Record Keeping System	64 000	04 5-6 0007	16-Mar-2007	0,0	\$0 \$3	n/a n/a	\$0,
Training/Sampling	\$1,000	21-Feb-2007	16-Mar-2007	0.1	\$0	n/a n/a	\$3 \$0
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs			leanup/disposal o	f the dea		g an audible level a uired is the date of ti	
Avoided Costs	ANN	LIALIZE 141 avoide	nd coete hotoro o	ntorina	Itom (overant for	one-time avoided d	oete)
Avoided Costs Disposal	ANN	DALIZE [1] avoide	d Costs Delote e	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$2,500	21-Feb-2007	16-Mar-2007	0.0	\$0	\$2,500	\$2,500
Notes for AVOIDED costs						gand hauling to a di date of compliance	
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$3,500	and the second		TOTAL	····	\$2,503

Effluent Violation Chart

City of Nederland RN103016374 Docket No. 2007-0994-MWD-E

	pН	TSS Daily	NH3-N Daily	NH3-N Daily	CBOD5	CBOD5	CBOD5
Date/	Maximum	Maximum	Average	Maximum	Daily	Daily	Single Grab
Month		Concentration	Concentration	Concentration	Average	Maximum	Limit = 35
		Limit = 40 mg/L	Limit = 2	Limit = 10	Concentration	Concentration	mg/L
			mg/L	mg/L	Limit = 7	Limit = 25	
02/21/2007	9.7	С	c	С	С	С	62
Region							
Grab							
Sample							,
02/2007	9.9	46	2.4	12.5	7.1	35.3	c
Monthly							
DMR							

c = compliant TSS = total suspended solids CBOD5 = carbonaceous five-day biochemical oxygen demand

NH3-N = ammonia nitrogen
DMR = discharge monitoring report

.

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:

CN600341937

City of Nederland

Classification: AVERAGE

Rating: 2.51

Regulated Entity:

RN103016374

CITY OF NEDERLAND

Classification: AVERAGE

Site Rating: 1.04

ID Number(s):

WASTEWATER PERMIT WQ0010483002 WASTEWATER PERMIT TX0026476000

WASTEWATER PERMIT TPDES0026476

WASTEWATER LICENSING LICENSE WQ0010483002

Location:

Immediately east of the intersection of Hardy Avenue and Avenue D,

east of the main drainage canal, Nederland, Jefferson County

Rating Date: 9/1/2006 Repeat Violator: NO

TCEQ Region:

REGION 10 - BEAUMONT

Date Compliance History Prepared:

June 22, 2007

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:

Enforcement

Compliance Period:

June 22, 2002 to June 22, 2007

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name:

Laurie Eaves

Phone: 512/239-4495

Site Compliance History Components

- 1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? Yes
- 2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period? No
- 3. If Yes, who is the current owner? N/A
- 4. if Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? N/A
- 5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur? N/A

Components (Multimedia) for the Site:

A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events.

- D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)
- 1 07/19/2002 (173915)
- 2 08/20/2002 (173919)
- 3 09/20/2002 (173922)
- 4 10/22/2002 (173925)
- 5 11/20/2002 (173929)
- 6 12/20/2002 (173933)
- 7 01/21/2003 (173937)
- 8 02/20/2003 (173896)
- 9 03/19/2003 (173899)
- 10 04/18/2003 (173904)
- 11 05/20/2003 (173908)
- 12 06/09/2003 (173916) 13 08/07/2003 (173912
- 14 08/20/2003 (301918)
- 15 08/21/2003 (149489)
- 16 09/19/2003 (301920)
- 17 10/20/2003 (301922)
- 18 11/18/2003 (301923)
- 19 12/18/2003 (301924)
- 20 02/20/2004 (301909)
- 21 03/09/2004 (264635)
- 22 03/15/2004 (301911)
- 23 04/13/2004 (301925)
- 24 04/20/2004 (301912)
- 25 05/20/2004 (301914)
- 26 06/21/2004 (354765)
- 27 08/01/2004 (354766) 28 08/20/2004 (354767)
- 29 09/20/2004 (354768)
- 30 10/20/2004 (354769)
- 31 11/03/2004 (337796)
- 32 11/03/2004 (392426) 33 11/19/2004 (354770)
- 34 12/20/2004 (354771)

35 01/21/2005 (383336) 36 02/17/2005 (349808) 37 02/18/2005 (383335) 38 04/20/2005 (442138) 39 05/20/2005 (442139) 40 06/01/2005 (442137) 41 06/20/2005 (442141) 42 06/20/2005 (442145) 43 06/21/2005 (442140) 44 08/05/2005 (442142) 45 09/07/2005 (403142) 46 09/16/2005 (403142) 46 09/16/2005 (442143) 47 10/20/2005 (442144) 48 11/28/2005 (471037) 49 12/22/2005 (471038) 50 02/16/2006 (471034) 51 03/20/2006 (471035) 52 04/19/2006 (471036) 53 05/19/2006 (499899) 54 06/20/2006 (499900) 55 07/20/2006 (499901) 56 07/27/2006 (499902) 57 08/10/2006 (521991) 58 09/12/2006 (521992) 59 10/19/2006 (521993) 60 04/18/2007 (556300)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

Date: 09/30/2002 (173925)
Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 06/30/2003 (173916) Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 08/21/2003 (149489)

Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(5)

30 TAC Chapter 317 317.2[G] Ramt Prov: OP WQ0010483-002

Description: Failure by the permittee to ensure that all systems of collection, treatment, and

disposal are properly operated and maintained.
Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(5)

30 TAC Chapter 317 317.3[G] Rqmt Prov: OP WQ0010483-002

Description: Failure by the permittee to ensure that all systems of collection, treatment, and

disposal are properly operated and maintained.

Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 317 317.4(a)(8)

Description: Failure by the permittee to conduct the required annual testing of the backflow

prevention device.

Self Report? NO Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(5)

30 TAC Chapter 317 317.4(b)(1) Ramt Prov: OP WQ0010483-002

Description: Failure by the permittee to ensure that all systems of collection, treatment, and

disposal are properly operated and maintained.

Date: 08/31/2003 (301920) Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 12/18/2003 (257565)

Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate Rgmt Prov: PERMIT WQ0010483-002

Description: Failure by the permittee to notify the TCEQ Regional office of an unauthorized

discharge orally or by fax within 24 hours of becoming aware of the

noncompliance, and written within 5 days.

Date: 01/31/2004 (301909)

Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 04/30/2004 (301914)

Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 10/31/2004 (354770)

Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305,125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 11/04/2004 (337796)

Self Report? NO Classification: Minor

Ramt Prov: PERMIT OpR 1

Description: Failure to ensure that all systems of collection, treatment, and disposal are

properly operated and maintained. Self Report? NO Classification: Minor

Rqmt Prov: PERMIT OpR 1

Description: Failure to ensure that all systems of collection, treatment, and disposal are

properly operated and maintained. Self Report? NO Classification: Minor

Rgmt Prov: PERMIT OpR 1

Description: Failure to ensure that all systems of collection, treatment, and disposal are

properly operated and maintained. Self Report? NO Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 312, SubChapter G 312.142[G]

Description: Failure to use a truck that is listed on the transporter registration to haul sludge.

Self Report? NO Classification: Minor

Rqmt Prov: PERMIT MRR 2

Description: Failure to install and maintain a flow measurement device designed in accordance

with provisions of 319.11.
Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate

Rgmt Prov: PERMIT MRR 7C

Description: Failure to submit >40% noncompliance notification as required

Self Report? NO Classification: Minor

Ramt Prov: PERMIT MRR 3C

Description: Failure to maintain complete records of calibration of the pH and dissolved oxygen

Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate

Ramt Prov: PERMIT OpR 1

Description: Failure to ensure that all systems of collection, treatment, and disposal are

properly operated and maintained.

Date: 02/28/2006 (471035) Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 06/30/2006 (499901) Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 07/31/2006 (521991)

Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 02/28/2007

Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

F. Environmental audits.

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

.

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING THE	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
CITY OF NEDERLAND	§	
RN103016374	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2007-0994-MWD-E

At its ______ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding the City of Nederland ("the City") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the City presented this agreement to the Commission.

The City understands that it has certain procedural rights at certain points in the enforcement process, including, but not limited to, the right to formal notice of violations, notice of an evidentiary hearing, the right to an evidentiary hearing, and a right to appeal. By entering into this Agreed Order, the City agrees to waive all notice and procedural rights.

It is further understood and agreed that this Order represents the complete and fully-integrated settlement of the parties. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable. The duties and responsibilities imposed by this Agreed Order are binding upon the City.

The Commission makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

I. FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The City owns and operates a wastewater treatment facility located immediately east of the intersection of Hardy Avenue and Avenue D, east of the main drainage canal, Nederland, Jefferson County, Texas (the "Facility").

- 2. The City has discharged wastewater into or adjacent to any water in the state under TEX. WATER CODE ch. 26.
- 3. During investigations conducted on February 21 and 22, 2007, TCEQ staff documented the unauthorized discharge of wastewater into water in the state. Specifically, on February 20, 2007, 1,200 gallons of 50% sodium hydroxide were discharged from City's surface water treatment facility to the wastewater collection system. The discharge caused a die-off of microorganisms in the wastewater treatment facility which resulted in partially-treated wastewater discharging to the Jefferson County Drainage District No. 7 Main C Canal and resulted in a fish kill of approximately 350 fish. Additionally, a region-documented grab sample and a review of discharge monitoring report ("DMR") data revealed noncompliance with permitted effluent limits during February 2007, as documented in the chart below.

	pН	TSS Daily	NH3-N Daily	NH3-N Daily	CBOD5	CBOD5	CBOD5
Date/	Maximum	Maximum	Average	Maximum	Daily	Daily	Single
Month		Concentration	Concentration	Concentration	Average	Maximum	Grab
		Limit = 40	Limit = 2	Limit = 10	Concentration	Concentration	Limit = 35
		mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	Limit = 7	Limit = 25	mg/L
02/21/2007	9.7	С	С	С	С	С	62
Region							
Grab							
Sample							
02/2007	9.9	46	2.4	12.5	7.1	35.3	С
Monthly							
DMR							

c = compliant

TSS = total suspended solids

NH3-N = ammonia nitrogen

CBOD5 = carbonaceous five-day biochemical oxygen demand

- 4. The City received notice of the violations on April 23, 2007.
- 5. The Executive Director recognizes the City returned to compliance by March 16, 2007 by disposing of the dead fish, installing an audible level alarm on the day tank, establishing contingency procedures and retraining employees.

II. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The City is subject to the jurisdiction of the TCEQ pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26 and the rules of the Commission.
- 2. As evidenced by Findings of Fact No. 3, the City failed to prevent the unauthorized discharge of partially treated wastewater into or adjacent to water in the state, in violation of TEX. WATER CODE § 26.121(a), TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(4), and Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit No. 10483002, Permit Condition No. 2.d

- 3. Pursuant to Tex. Water Code § 7.051, the Commission has the authority to assess an administrative penalty against the City for violations of the Texas Water Code and the Texas Health and Safety Code within the Commission's jurisdiction; for violations of rules adopted under such statutes; or for violations of orders or permits issued under such statutes.
- 4. An administrative penalty in the amount of Thirteen Thousand One Hundred Dollars (\$13,100) is justified by the facts recited in this Agreed Order, and considered in light of the factors set forth in Tex. Water Code § 7.053. The City has paid the Thirteen Thousand One Hundred Dollar (\$13,100) administrative penalty.

III. ORDERING PROVISIONS

NOW, THEREFORE, THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ORDERS that:

1. The City is assessed an administrative penalty in the amount of Thirteen Thousand One Hundred Dollars (\$13,100) as set forth in Section II, Paragraph 4 above, for violations of TCEQ rules and state statutes. The payment of this administrative penalty and the City's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order completely resolve the violations set forth by this Agreed Order in this action. However, the Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective actions or penalties for other violations that are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: City of Nederland, Docket No. 2007-0994-MWD-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the City. The City is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 3. The Executive Director may refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings without notice to the City if the Executive Director determines that the City has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 4. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 5. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the City in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.

City of Nederland DOCKET NO. 2007-0994-MWD-E Page 4

- 6. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
- 7. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties. By law, the effective date of this Agreed Order is the third day after the mailing date, as provided by 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b) and TEX. GOV'T CODE § 2001.142.

City of Nederland DOCKET NO. 2007-0994-MWD-E Page 5

For the Commission

SIGNATURE PAGE

of

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Executive Director	Date 10/18/07				
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Ag Nederland. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Orded do agree to the specified terms and conditions. I further act payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such rep	er on behalf of the City of Nederland, and knowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting				
I understand that by entering into this Agreed Order, the City rights, including, but not limited to, the right to formal notice Order, notice of an evidentiary hearing, the right to an evidentiary agree to the terms of the Agreed Order in lieu of an evidentiary full and final adjudication by the Commission of the violations s	e of violations addressed by this Agreed tiary hearing, and the right to appeal. I y hearing. This Agreed Order constitutes				
I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provistimely pay the penalty amount, may result in:	sions, if any, in this order and/or failure to				
 A negative impact on compliance history; Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted; Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency; Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions; Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law. 					
In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may	result in criminal prosecution.				
Signature Signature	August 20, 2007 Date				
Bert Rogers Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of the City of Nederland	Mayor Pro Tem Title				

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

.